



The **Kihoto Hollohon Yepthomi** case has regained attention amid debates on political defections. In **Kihoto Hollohan v. Zachillhu (1992)**, the **Supreme Court** upheld the constitutional validity of the **Tenth Schedule (Anti-Defection Law)** and held that the **Speaker's decisions** are subject to judicial review.

The judgment established that the Speaker functions as a **quasi-judicial authority**, strengthening constitutional checks on anti-defection proceedings.

APSC Relevance: Polity; Judiciary.